UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,224	09/29/2003	Jose M. Sosa	COS-857/864	2510
25264 FINA TECHNO	7590 07/14/201 DLOGY INC	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 67441	2		NUTTER, NATHAN M	
HOUSTON, TX	X //20/ -44 12		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Total Examiner Art Unit Nathan M. Nutter Nathan M. Nutter		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Nathan M. Nutter Nathan M. Nutter 1765 Nathan M. Nutter 1765	Office Action Occurrence	10/674,224	SOSA ET AL.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Endealing of the ram gab a satisfies under the proteins of 37 CP1 1.701. Endealing of the ram gab a satisfies of the communication. Feature to received by the fire after considerable of the communication. Feature to receive with the set or considerable price for the mailing date of this communication. Feature to received by the considerable price for the mailing date of this communication. As you've collected the communication of the communi	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exercisions of virus may be swillable under the powerions of 37 CFR 1.136.31. In no event however, may a vary be timely filed. - Exercision of virus may be swillable under the powerions of 37 CFR 1.136.31. In no event however, may a vary be timely filed. - Failure to reply signification to the powerion of 37 CFR 1.136.31. In no event however, may a vary be timely filed to 1.11 No parcia for reply in general date to the maining date of this communication. Park the power of the po						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Executions of the ray be waited but one'the provides of 37 CPR 1.13(s), the owen, thowever, may a reply be timely field after 5X (5) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. **Fall-stor received by the Office is test than these morths after the mailing date of this communication. **Fall-stor received by the Office is test than these morths after the mailing date of this communication. **Fall-stor received by the Office is test than these morths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seared parties that the major date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seared parties than adjustment, see 37 CPR 1.74(b). **Status** **Notice this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 C.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4)		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \$\textit{BPAI odecision posted 29 June 2011}. 2a) This action is \textit{FINAL}. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) \$\frac{1-9 and 12-27}{2}\$ [slare pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) \$\frac{1-9 and 18-27}{2}\$ [slare rejected.] 7 Claim(s) \$\frac{1-9 and 18-27}{2}\$ [slare rejected.] 7 Claim(s) \$\frac{1-9 and 18-27}{2}\$ [slare rejected.] 7 Claim(s) \$\frac{1-2-17}{2}\$ is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) \$\frac{1-9 and 18-27}{2}\$ [slare rejected.] 7 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on \$\frac{29 September 2003}{2}\$ [slare: a] \$\infty\$ accepted or b) \$\mathred{\mathreal}\$ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \$ 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) \$\mathreal{\mathreal}\$ ome \$\mathreal{\mathreal}\$ one of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Ouayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1-9 and 18-27 is/are epicted. 7 Claim(s) 1-9 and 18-27 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 1-2 17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-2 and 18-27 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-2 and 18-27 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-2 and 18-27 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-2 17 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The paraming(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 18-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 12-17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Disposition of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 18-27</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>12-17</u> is/are objected to. 	yn from consideration.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application Papers					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	re: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10 May 2011 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Prosecution Reopened

Prosecution on the merits of this application is reopened on claims 1-9 and 18-27 considered unpatentable for the reasons indicated below:

Claim Objections

Claims 12-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-7 and 18-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meredith et al (US 3,538,191).

Application/Control Number: 10/674,224 Page 3

Art Unit: 1765

Note the Abstract, column 2 (lines 8-27 and 43-54), column 3 (lines 11-40), column 5 (lines 23-52, the paragraph bridging column 5 to column 6, Table 1 at columns 7 and 8, and Example 5, which shows both initiators.

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 18 and 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shoji et al (US 4,000,220).

Note the Abstract, column 3 (line 40) to column 4 (line 68), column 5 (line 28) to column 6 (line 22) and Examples 3 and 14.

Claims 1, 3-9 and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagata et al (JP 60-208314).

Note the Abstract and the entire document.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is (571)272-1076. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/674,224 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nathan M Nutter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1765

nmn

13 July 2011